

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,115	06/14/2001	Takamasa Suzuki	209519US2	6648
22850	7590 08/02/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			KADING, JOSHUA A	
	SIREEI NA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2661	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>≪</b>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/880,115	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Joshua Kading	2661	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	<u>May 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,8,9 and 11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 3,5-7,10 and 12-14 is/are objected.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration. to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/01, 8/19/03.</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 09/880,115

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,577,641 B1, Izumi.

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Izumi discloses, "a dynamic bandwidth assignment system (Fig. 5 @ 10, communication unit) including a network unit (Fig. 5 @ 13, control unit) configured to carry out cell slot assignment (col. 6, lines 18-25, received information processing section), and a network termination (Fig. 5 @ 12, receiving unit) configured to transmit cells to the network unit by cell slots assigned by the network unit (col. 6, lines 21-22, which receives incoming information), said network unit comprising;

a detection unit (Fig. 5 @ 15, allocation means) configured to detect valid cells and idle cells said network unit receives from said network termination unit (col. 5, lines 64-67 show the use of empty cells and valid cells used as described in col. 6, lines 26-29, the time slots are allocated depending on the amount of information to be process whereby receiving these allocated cells means they are also detected);

a decision unit (*Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 29-31, the control unit includes a means for determining the amount*) configured to output a decision result on a cell slot assignment to the network termination unit based on the detection results by said detection unit (*col. / 6, lines 29-31 , a means for determining the amount of information to be transmitted*); and

a cell slot assignment unit (Fig. 1@ 15, this section also processes by allocation means) configured to control the cell slot assignment to the network termination unit in response to the decision result of said decision unit (col. 6, lines 32-38, the allocation means 15 of the control unit 13 allocates the time slots and provides a transmission means 14)."

Regarding claims 2, 4, 9, and 11, Izumi discloses, "wherein said decision unit (Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 29-31, the control unit includes a means for determining the amount) is configured to supply the decision result to said cell slot assignment unit (Fig. 5 @ .15, allocation means) when a number of consecutive valid cells (Fig. 1, frame F7) said network unit (Fig. 5 @ 13, control unit) receives from said network termination unit (Fig. 5 @ 12, receiving unit) exceeds a first predetermined threshold value, and wherein said cell slot assignment unit (Fig. 5 @ 15, allocation means) is configured to increase the number of the cell slots to be assigned to said network termination unit (Fig. 5 @ 12, receiving unit) in response to the decision result (col. 4, lines 19-23, the threshold for a predetermined time frame holds eight time slots or depend upon the case it is used; col.

5, lines 10-12, additional time slots added to the frame depending on the amount of information to be transmitted)."

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 13, and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 May 2005 have been fully considered but they are 4. not persuasive.

Applicant makes the following arguments:

- 1) Izumi does not teach a "detection unit configured to detect valid cells and idle cells said network unit receives from said network termination unit."
- 2) Claim 1 relates to an ATM-PON and since Izumi does not, Izumi cannot read on the claimed invention.
- 3) Izumi does not teach a "decision unit configured to output a decision on cell slot assignment to the network termination unit based on the detection result by said detection unit."

The examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 2661

1) Izumi, col. 5, lines 64-67 and col. 6, lines 7-10 fully account for applicant's newly added limitation of idle cells. And as noted in the rejection, the valid cells and idle cells must be detected on the receiving end so as to reconstitute the sent data.

- 2) No claim recites any limitation concerning an ATM-PON. It would be inappropriate to read this limitation into the claims when it is not explicitly recited in the claims.
- 3) Izumi, col. 6, lines 26-29 and 32-38 fully account for the decision unit and cell assignments. The results of which can be seen in figures 1-3.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/880,115

Art Unit: 2661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Kading whose telephone number is (571) 272-3070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joshua Kading Examiner

Art Unit 2661

July 28, 2005

CHAU NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600